

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

EHREN BROSE,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cr-00017-MMD-CLB

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS
OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. Counsel for the defendant needs additional time complete necessary research, prepare, and submit .

2. The defendant is out on bond and does not object to the continuance.

3. The parties agree to the continuance.

4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the defendant sufficient time to complete necessary research, prepare, and submit reply.

5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excusable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW


The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excusable under the Speedy Trial Act, title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that counsel for defendant shall have to and including March 11, 2025, to file its reply.

DATED this 3rd of March, 2025


UNITES STATES DISTRICT JUDGE